



**Date:** 13 January 2022  
**To:** Chief Kevin Booker  
**From:** Captain Jason Huertas #8  
**Subject:** Annual Statistical Summary 2021

Pursuant to UICPD General Order 580.101 Internal Affairs and The Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc. (CALEA®) Standard 26.2.5 requires an annual statistical summary of Internal Affairs Investigations.

**2021 Citizen Complaint Investigations**

In 2021, Fourteen (14) complaints were filed involving 16 members\* of the Department. There was a total of twenty-four (24) dispositions.

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Number</b>
Sustained	3
Not Sustained	0
Unfounded	6
Exonerated	0
Policy Procedure	0
Administratively Closed	1
No Initiation	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

**2021 Internal Affairs Investigations (Internal Policy Violations)**

In 2021, Ninety-Three (93) Internal Affairs Investigations involving 56 members\* of the Department. There was a total of ninety-eight (98) dispositions.

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Number</b>
Sustained	83
Not Sustained	4
Unfounded	0
Exonerated	4
Policy Procedure	0
Administratively Closed	7
No Initiation	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>98</b>

**Not sustained** – When the investigation discloses that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the complaint or fully exonerate the employee.

**Sustained** – When the investigation discloses sufficient evidence to establish that the act occurred and that it constitutes misconduct.

**Unfounded** – When the investigation discloses that the alleged act(s) did not occur or did not involve department personnel. Complaints which are determined to be frivolous will fall within the classification of unfounded.

**Exonerated** – When the investigation discloses that the alleged occurred, but the actions of the accused were justified, lawful and/or proper.

**Administratively closed** - When the complainant, at some point in the investigation, refuses to further cooperate with the investigation, therefore inhibiting the investigator's ability to successfully conclude the investigation, or if the employee resigns before the investigation could reasonably be completed or at the discretion of the Chief of Police for another reason not listed.

**No Initiation** – All complaints are investigated preliminarily usually by the first line supervisor. There are several reasons why a citizen complaint would not initiate a formal Internal Affairs investigation. (Examples: Complainant refused to sign sworn affidavit, Complaint did not indicate a policy or legal violation, deception discovered during complaint process, etc)

\* The total number of involved employees may be more or less than the total number of complaints. Example #1: 1-complainant but multiple involved employees. An investigation may have the same IA complaint number but each employee actions are individually investigated within the same investigation. Example #2: 1-employee may receive multiple complaints within the same year from different incidents.

**\*\*\*Effective July 1, 2021, Per ILCS Sworn Affidavits can no longer be a requirement when filing a Citizen Complaint. \*\*\***