



**POLICE DEPARTMENT**

**Date:** 26 January 2024  
**To:** Chief Kevin Booker  
**From:** Captain Justin Wooden #4  
**Copies:** Deputy Chief Michael Batis, Deputy Chief Jason Huertas  
**Subject:** Internal Affairs Annual Statistical Summary 2023

Pursuant to UICPD General Order 580.101 Internal Affairs and The Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc. (CALEA®) Standard 26.2.5 requires an annual statistical summary of Internal Affairs Investigations.

**2023 Citizen Complaint Investigations**

In 2023, sixteen (16) complaints were filed involving 20 members\* of the Department. There was a total of twenty-eight (28) dispositions, four (4) resulting in “No Initiations”.

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Number</b>
Sustained	6
Not Sustained	2
Unfounded	6
Exonerated	7
Policy Procedure	0
Administratively Closed	3
No Initiation	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>

## **2023 Internal Affairs Investigations (Internal Policy Violations)**

In 2023, forty-eight (48) Internal Affairs Investigations were initiated involving 48 members\* of the Department. There was a total of sixty-nine (69) dispositions, zero (0) resulting in “No Initiations”.

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Number</b>
Sustained	61
Not Sustained	2
Unfounded	0
Exonerated	0
Policy Procedure	0
Administratively Closed	6
No Initiation	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>

**Sustained** – When the investigation discloses sufficient evidence to establish that the act occurred and that it constitutes misconduct.

**Not sustained** – When the investigation discloses that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the complaint or fully exonerate the employee.

**Unfounded** – When the investigation discloses that the alleged act(s) did not occur or did not involve department personnel. Complaints which are determined to be frivolous will fall within the classification of unfounded.

**Exonerated** – When the investigation discloses that the alleged occurred, but the actions of the accused were justified, lawful and/or proper.

**Administratively closed** - When the complainant, at some point in the investigation, refuses to further cooperate with the investigation, therefore inhibiting the investigator’s ability to successfully conclude the investigation, or if the employee resigns before the investigation could reasonably be completed or at the discretion of the Chief of Police for another reason not listed.

**No Initiation** – All complaints are investigated preliminary, usually by the first line supervisor. There are several reasons why a citizen complaint would not initiate a formal Internal Affairs investigation. (Examples: Complaint did not indicate a policy or legal violation, deception discovered during complaint process, etc)

\* The total number of involved employees may be more or less than the total number of complaints. Example #1: 1-complainant, but multiple involved employees. An investigation may have the same IA complaint number, but each employee’s actions are individually investigated within the same investigation. Example #2: 1-employee may receive multiple complaints within the same year from different incidents.

